

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
HAMMOND DIVISION AT LAFAYETTE

IN THE MATTER OF:

JON M. PROVO
TARA A. PROVO

Debtors

)
)
)
)
)
)

CASE NO. 04-41618

DECISION AND ORDER

At Fort Wayne, Indiana, on February 20, 2006

The notice of motion and opportunity to object which Charles Mathew (hereinafter “Movant”) served in connection with its Motion to Modify the Automatic Stay does not comply with the requirements of N.D. Ind. L.B.R. B-2002-2 because:

- a. The notice does not correctly state the date upon which the motion was filed. N.D. Ind. L.B.R. B-2002-2(c)(2). The motion was filed on January 27, 2006, while the notice refers to a motion filed on January 16, 2006.
- b. The notice does not “contain a brief summary of the ground for the motion or have a copy of the motion attached to it.” N.D. Ind. L.B.R. B-2002-2(c)(4). Although the notice states that a copy of the motion is attached to it, there is no attachment to the notice filed with the court.

Since creditors and parties in interest have not been given appropriate notice of the motion and the opportunity to object thereto, the court cannot grant it at this time. Movant shall prepare and serve an Amended Notice of Motion and Opportunity to Object which complies with N.D. Ind. L.B.R. B-2002-2 and file proof thereto within fourteen (14) days of this date. See, N.D. Ind. L.B.R. B-9013-4(a). The failure to do so will result in the motion being denied without prejudice and without further notice.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ Robert E. Grant
Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court